

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,609	04/12/2001	Richard Dean Weir	EESTOR 100	3745
7590 06/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard D. Weir 1404 Wesson Cove			STEIN, ST	TEPHEN J
Cedar Park, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
		DATE MAIL ED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	''	
Office Action Summary	09/833,609	WEIR ET AL.
omoo Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Stephen J. Stein	1775
Period for Reply	pears on the covers	neet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however oly within the statutory minim I will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
itatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	ebruary 2005.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		·
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10-14,17 and 22-25</u> is/are pe	ending in the applica	tion.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10-14,17 and 22-25</u> is/are re	jected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
	.xammer. Note the a	ittached Office Action of form P10-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Burea		_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	·	
	·	
attachment(s)	20_0	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050620

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 15, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 3, 9, 15 and 16 have been renumbered as claims 22, 23, 24 and 25 respectively. It is noted that claims 3, 9, 15 and 16 were cancelled in Applicants' Amendment filed August 23, 2004. It is further noted that applicants have failed to list claims 18-21which had been pending and were added in Applicants' Amendment filed August 23, 2004 have not been included in the list of claims. If these claims have been cancelled they should be indicated as

such. When applicants respond to this Office Action, the claims should be numbered as above.

Finally, it is noted that the claims must commence on a separate sheet of paper and should not be on the same page as the cover sheet to any amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14, 17 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,078,494 (Hansen).

Hansen teaches a capacitor (electrical energy storage unit) comprising a ceramic dielectric composition which includes a doped barium calcium-zirconium-titanate of the composition (Ba_{0.9575}N_{0.0025}Ca_{0.04})[Ti_{0.815}Y_{0.0025}Zr_{0.18}Mn_{0.0025}]_{0.997}O₃ (See Example 1). Hansen further teaches that the ceramic is interweaved between offset nickel electrodes. With regard to the process limitations recited in the claims, process limitations in product claims are generally not dispositive on patentability unless it is shown that the process limitations produce a materially different product. See MPEP §2113.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicants have filed an amendment in conjunction with an RCE which converts all pending claims to product by process claims. In view of this amendment changing the claims from method claims to article claims, the previous indication of allowable subject matter of claims 1-17 is withdrawn and new rejections have been made in view of prior art already of record. Applicants submitted no remarks with their amendment.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/833,609

Art Unit: 1775

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Stein whose telephone number is 571-272-1544. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the

Page 4

attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can

be reached by dialing 571-272-1535. The official fax number is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 20, 2005

Stephen J. Stein

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775